



**BUSINESS
PROFESSIONALS
of AMERICA**

155 ECONOMIC RESEARCH INDIVIDUAL

CONTESTANT NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT PRESENTATION

SCORE

Judge 1 (100 points) _____

Judge 2 (100 points) _____

Judge 3 (100 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (100 maximum)

TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (160 points) _____

Judge 2 (160 points) _____

Judge 3 (160 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE _____ (160 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ (260 maximum)

RANK

(155) Economic Research Individual

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Required Elements (If any question results in a NO, please assign a score of 0)				
Contestant followed the topic.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Contestant followed Copyright and/or Fair Use Guidelines.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
If answered no to either question, please stop scoring and provide a brief reason for the <i>disqualification</i> :				
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-5 points	Meets Expectations 6-10 points	Above Expectations 11-15 points	Points Awarded
Delivery & Engagement	Contestant rarely maintains eye contact, uses minimal gestures and body language, or has significant inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Contestant occasionally breaks eye contact, uses limited gestures and body language, or has some inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Contestant maintains good eye contact, uses appropriate gestures and body language, and varies their tone and pace adequately.	/15
Organization, Design & Structure	The presentation lacks coherence, and the speaker's structure is unclear. Transitions between points are awkward or missing, making it difficult to follow the overall flow.	The presentation has some structural gaps, and the speaker's organization is inconsistent. Transitions between points are present but choppy, affecting the clarity of the message.	The presentation flows logically, with a clear and well-integrated structure. The speaker transitions smoothly between points, enhancing the overall coherence and impact.	/15
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-10 points	Meets Expectations 11-30 points	Above Expectations 31-50 points	Points Awarded
Content Knowledge	Presentation demonstrates a limited understanding of the topic and includes mostly irrelevant or inaccurate information.	Presentation demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic and includes a mix of relevant and irrelevant information.	Presentation demonstrates a good understanding of the topic and includes relevant and accurate information.	/50
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-4 points	Meets Expectations 5-7 points	Above Expectations 8-10 points	Points Awarded
Judges' Questions	Responses lack depth and fail to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	Some responses lack detail or clarity. The understanding of the topic is partially demonstrated.	Responses are detailed, insightful, and effectively address the judges' questions.	/10
Timing	Setup lasted no longer than three (3) minutes, and the presentation lasted no more than seven (7) minutes.			/10
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS				/100

Props and/or additional items shall not be used as a basis for scoring.

PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT SEVEN (7) MINUTES



JUDGES COMMENTS

(155) Economic Research Individual (S)

Contestant ID | Team Number _____ Judge Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: Please provide constructive feedback, highlighting both strengths and areas for improvement in your analysis of the competitor.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)